arXiv:0810.1967v1 [math-ph] 10 Oct 2008

THE q-DEFORMED HARMONIC OSCILLATOR, COHERENT STATES, AND THE UNCERTAINTY RELATION

V.V Eremin , A.A. Meldianov [1]

Lomonosov Moscow State University,Moscow, Russia

For a q-deformed harmonic oscillator, we find explicit coordinate representations of the creation and annihilation operators, eigenfunctions, and coherent states (the last being defined as eigenstates of the annihilation operator). We calculate the product of the “coordinate–momentum” uncertainties in qoscillator eigenstates and in coherent states. For the oscillator, this product is minimum in the ground state and equals 1/2, as in the standard quantum mechanics. For coherent states, the q-deformation results in a violation of the standard uncertainty relation; the product of the coordinate- and momentumoperator uncertainties is always less than 1/2. States with the minimum uncertainty, which tends to zero, correspond to the values of λ near the convergence radius of the q-exponential.

Keywords: q-deformation, harmonic oscillator, creation and annihilation operators, coherent states, uncertainty relation

1 Introduction

In the last decade, quantum groups and q-deformed algebras have been the subject of intense investigation. After the first works where the notion of a q-deformed harmonic oscillator was introduced [1, 2, 3], quantum groups and q-deformations have found applications in various branches of physics and chemistry. In particular, they were used to describe electronic conductance in disordered metals and doped semiconductors [4], to analyze the phonon spectrum in ^{4}He [5], and to characterize the oscillatory-rotational spectra

---

[1] E-mail: meld@mail.ru

of diatomic [6] and multiatomic molecules [7]. As a natural application of quantum groups, q-deformed quantum mechanics [8, 9] was developed, generalizing the standard quantum mechanics based on the Heisenberg commutation relation (the Heisenberg algebra). Quantum q-analogues of several fundamental notions and models in quantum mechanics.phase space [10], uncertainty relation [11, 12], density matrix [13], harmonic oscillator [1, 2, 10], hydrogen atom [14], creation and annihilation operators, coherent states [1, 2, 15], and dynamics [16].have been constructed such that they reduce to their standard counterparts as $q \to 1$. It turned out that the properties of some of the generalized q-variables are notably different from the properties of the standard quantum mechanical analogues. For example, the q-oscillator has a strongly nonlinear spectrum, and the product of uncertainties can be less than $1/2$ in some cases [11]. The properties of quantum groups (the q-analogues of standard groups used in quantum mechanics) have been studied at the abstract level in sufficient detail, and a large number of operator identities have been obtained based on the corresponding commutation relations. On the other hand, coordinate realizations of operators and wave functions have received much less attention: to the best of our knowledge, only the pioneering paper [1] contains indications about the explicit form of the q-oscillator wave functions. In this paper, we obtain explicit coordinate representations for the creation and annihilation operators, wave functions, and coherent states of the q-deformed harmonic oscillator and investigate their dependence on the deformation parameter q. For coherent states, we calculate the product of the 'coordinate-momentum' uncertainties explicitly and show that it can take values arbitrarily close to zero.

2 The q-deformed harmonic oscillator

The q-deformed harmonic oscillator (simply q-oscillator in what follows) is described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{\hbar\omega}{2}(aa^+ + a^+a) \tag{1}$$

where ω - is the oscillator frequency and, a^+ and a - are creation and annihilation operators satisfying the commutation relation

$$[a, a^+]_q = aa^+ - qa^+a = 1 \tag{2}$$

with the deformation parameter q taking values in $(0,1)$. In what follows, we use the system of units where $\hbar = 1$. In the space of eigenvectors $|n\rangle,\ n = 0,1,2,...$ of Hamiltonian (1)

$$H|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle. \tag{3}$$

the operators a and a^+ act as ladder operators

$$a|n\rangle = \sqrt{[n]}|n-1\rangle \tag{4}$$

$$a^+|n\rangle = \sqrt{[n+1]}|n+1\rangle \tag{5}$$

where $[n] = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q}$ - is the q-integer [16]. The spectrum of Hamiltonian (1) has the form

$$E_n = \omega\left([n] + \frac{q^n}{2}\right) = \omega\left(\frac{[n]+[n+1]}{2}\right) \tag{6}$$

and is bounded from above by the value

$$E_\infty = \frac{\omega}{1-q} \tag{7}$$

At $q = 1$ it becomes the spectrum of the standard harmonic oscillator

$$E_n = \omega\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{8}$$

For small deviations from unity ($q = 1-\varepsilon$), the spectrum becomes quadratic,

$$E_n = \omega\left(n + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{n^2}{2}\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2)\right) \tag{9}$$

which allows using the q-oscillator to describe oscillatory-rotational levels of diatomic [6] and multiatomic [7] molecules with the unharmonicity parameter ε. As for the standard harmonic oscillator, the eigenvectors of Hamiltonian (1) can be found by consecutively applying the creation operator a^+ to the vacuum state vector:

$$|n\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{[n]}}a^+|n-1\rangle = \ldots = \frac{1}{\sqrt{[n]!}}(a^+)^n|0\rangle \tag{10}$$

The vectors thus constructed are orthonormalized and constitute a complete system. Energy levels (6) are determined only by the form of Hamiltonian

(1) and by commutation relation (2). To find the eigenvectors explicitly, we must specify the coordinate representation for the creation and annihilation operators. We suggest taking it in the form

$$a = \frac{\exp(-2i\alpha x) - \exp(i\alpha \frac{d}{dx})\exp(-i\alpha x)}{-i\sqrt{1-\exp(-2\alpha^2)}} \tag{11}$$

$$a^+ = \frac{\exp(2i\alpha x) - \exp(i\alpha x)\exp(i\alpha \frac{d}{dx})}{i\sqrt{1-\exp(-2\alpha^2)}} \tag{12}$$

где $\alpha = \sqrt{-\ln q/2}$, $0 < \alpha < \infty$. This representation satisfies relation (2) and yields the standard harmonic-oscillator expressions in the limit as $q \to 1$ ($\alpha \to 0$). The corresponding coordinate and momentum operators in q-deformed quantum mechanics are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x} &= \frac{a+a^+}{\sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{1-\exp(-2\alpha^2)}} \times \\ &\times \left(\sin(2\alpha x) - \exp\left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2}\right)\sin\left(\alpha x + \frac{\alpha^2}{2}i\right)\exp\left(i\alpha\frac{d}{dx}\right)\right) \\ \hat{p} &= \frac{a-a^+}{i\sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{1-\exp(-2\alpha^2)}} \times \\ &\times \left(\cos(2\alpha x) - \exp\left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2}\right)\cos\left(\alpha x + \frac{\alpha^2}{2}i\right)\exp\left(i\alpha\frac{d}{dx}\right)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Using the proposed coordinate representation, we first find the vacuum-state wave function. The normalized solution of the equation $a|0\rangle = 0$, i.e.,

$$\left[\exp(-2i\alpha x) - \exp\left(i\alpha\frac{d}{dx}\right)\exp(-i\alpha x)\right]\Psi_0(x) = 0 \tag{14}$$

is given by

$$\Psi_0(x) = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}}\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{3}{2}i\alpha x\right) \tag{15}$$

The probability density of the vacuum state $|\Psi_0(x)|^2$ has a Gaussian shape and is independent of the deformation parameter q.

Substituting relations (12) and (15) in formula (10), we obtain an explicit coordinate expression for the normalized q-oscillator wave functions:

$$|n\rangle = \Psi_n(x) = \frac{\exp(-\frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{3}{2}i\alpha x)}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}} i^n (1 - \exp(-2\alpha^2))^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{[n]!}} \times$$

$$\times \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^k [n]!}{[k]![n-k]!} \exp((n-k)2i\alpha x - k\alpha^2) \tag{16}$$

where $[n]! = [1][2]...[n]$. As $q \to 1$ $(\alpha \to 0)$, wave functions (16)converge to wave functions of the harmonic oscillator,

$$\Psi_n(x) \xrightarrow{q\to 1} \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}} 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{n!}} H_n(x) \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) \tag{17}$$

and as $q \to 0$ $(\alpha \to \infty)$ hey "degenerate" in that the probability density for any n tends to the ground-state probability density,

$$\Psi_n(x) \xrightarrow{q\to 0} \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}} i^n} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2} + \left(2n + \frac{3}{2}\right) i\alpha x\right) \tag{18}$$

$$|\Psi_n(x)|^2 \xrightarrow{q\to 0} \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left(-x^2\right) \tag{19}$$

It is interesting to estimate the "coordinate-momentum" uncertainty relation for the q-oscillator eigenstates. Using ladder relations (4) and (5), we find the means of the coordinate and momentum operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x\rangle &= \langle p\rangle = 0 \\ \langle x^2\rangle &= \langle p^2\rangle = \frac{E_n}{\omega} \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

This implies that the product of the coordinate and momentum uncertainties for the pure nth state of the q-oscillator is equal to the energy of this state in the units of ω:

$$\Delta x \Delta p = \left(\langle x^2\rangle - \langle x\rangle^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\langle p^2\rangle - \langle p\rangle^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{E_n}{\omega} \leq n + \frac{1}{2} \tag{21}$$

It is less than the analogous value for the standard harmonic oscillator for all n except $n = 0$. At $n = 0$, the product of the uncertainties is minimum and is given by 1/2.

The idea that deformed commutation relations can lead to a change in the uncertainty relation was first proposed back in [20]. The first estimates showing that the product of the coordinate and momentum uncertainties for a q-analogue of the Heisenberg algebra can be less than $1/2$ were given in [11, 13]. To find the minimum value of the product of uncertainties, we turn to the q-analogue of coherent states of a harmonic oscillator.

3 Coherent states

Coherent states In quantum mechanics, the minimum possible product of uncertainties is characteristic of the coherent states, one of whose definitions involves the annihilation operator for the harmonic oscillator [18]. We consider a generalization of this notion to the case of q-deformations and calculate the coordinate and momentum uncertainties in a coherent state. We define a coherent state as an eigenstate of the annihilation operator,

$$a|\lambda\rangle = \lambda|\lambda\rangle \tag{22}$$

where λ - is a complex number subjected to some restrictions below. With expression (4), we can show that this definition is equivalent to the decomposition

$$|\lambda\rangle = c_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{\sqrt{[n]!}}|n\rangle \tag{23}$$

in the q-oscillator eigenfunctions or to the action of the shift operator on the vacuum state,

$$|\lambda\rangle = c_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda a^+)^n}{[n]!}|0\rangle = c_0 \exp_q\left(\lambda a^+\right)|0\rangle \tag{24}$$

where $\exp_q$ is the q-exponential [?]. The constant c_0 is determined from the normalization condition $\langle\lambda|\lambda\rangle = 1$:

$$|c_0|^2 = \frac{1}{\sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda|^{2n}}{[n]!}} = \frac{1}{\exp_q(|\lambda|^2)} \tag{25}$$

The existence of a convergence disk for the q-exponential imposes a restriction on the coherent states,

$$|\lambda| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-q}} \tag{26}$$

in contrast to the case of the standard quantum mechanics, where λ can take any values. To calculate the means of the coordinate and momentum, we need the energy of the coherent state:

$$\langle\lambda|H|\lambda\rangle = \langle\lambda|\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1+q}{2}a^{+}a|\lambda\rangle = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1+q}{2}|\lambda|^2 \tag{27}$$

Relations (27) and (26) imply that the energy of q-coherent states is bounded:

$$\langle\lambda|H|\lambda\rangle < \frac{1}{1-q} \tag{28}$$

Calculating the other means proceeds from coherent-state definition (22):

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle x\rangle &= \langle\lambda|\frac{a+a^{+}}{\sqrt{2}}|\lambda\rangle = \frac{\lambda+\lambda^{*}}{\sqrt{2}} \\
\langle p\rangle &= \langle\lambda|\frac{a-a^{+}}{i\sqrt{2}}|\lambda\rangle = \frac{\lambda-\lambda^{*}}{i\sqrt{2}} \\
\langle x^2\rangle &= \langle\lambda|\left(\frac{a+a^{+}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2|\lambda\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda^2+\lambda^{*2}\right) + \langle\lambda|H|\lambda\rangle \\
\langle p^2\rangle &= \langle\lambda| - \left(\frac{a-a^{+}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2|\lambda\rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda^2+\lambda^{*2}\right) + \langle\lambda|H|\lambda\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

From (29) and (22) with (27) taken into account, we find the product of the coordinate and momentum uncertainties for the coherent state, $|\lambda\rangle$:

$$\Delta x\Delta p = \langle\lambda|H|\lambda\rangle - |\lambda|^2 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1-q}{2}|\lambda|^2 \tag{30}$$

For any $q < 1$ and admissible λ , this product is less than the standard quantum mechanical value $1/2$. Moreover, it can be made arbitrarily small as λ increases. Therefore, while the coherent states in the standard quantum mechanics are defined as the minimum-uncertainty states, this definition does not work in the q-deformed quantum mechanics: the minimum value $\Delta x\Delta p$, equal to zero in the q-deformed case (see (30)), is unreachable because the q-exponential series does not converge at the corresponding values of λ ($|\lambda| = (1-q)^{\frac{1}{2}}$)

To find the wave functions of coherent states explicitly, we solve Eq. (22), which involves annihilation operator (11):

$$\left(\frac{\exp(-2i\alpha x) - \exp(i\alpha\frac{d}{dx})\exp(-i\alpha x)}{-i\sqrt{1-\exp(-2\alpha^2)}} - \lambda\right)\Psi_\lambda(x) = 0 \tag{31}$$

We seek the solution in the form

$$\Psi_\lambda(x) = f(\exp(2i\alpha x))\Psi_0(x) \tag{32}$$

where the zeroth coherent state has form (15). Substituting expression (32) in (31)and performing some transformations, we obtain

$$\frac{f(A) - f(qA)}{A(1-q)} = \frac{\lambda}{i\sqrt{1-q}} f(A) \tag{33}$$

where $A \equiv \exp(2i\alpha x)$. Equation (33) is a q-analogue of the standard differential equation for the exponential [**?**] and has the solution

$$f(A) = \exp_q\left(\frac{\lambda}{i\sqrt{1-q}}A\right) \tag{34}$$

Substituting this solution in (34) в (32)and recalling the normalization conditions, we find the explicit form of coherent states:

$$\Psi_\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}\left[\exp_q(|\lambda|^2)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}\exp_q\left(i\frac{\lambda\sqrt{q}}{\sqrt{1-q}}\right)\times$$
$$\times\exp_q\left(\frac{\lambda}{i\sqrt{1-q}}\exp(2i\alpha x)\right)\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{3}{2}i\alpha x\right) \tag{35}$$

As $q \to 1$, this expression reduces to the coherent states of the standard quantum oscillator:

$$\Psi_\lambda(x) \xrightarrow{q\to 1} \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}}\exp\left(-\frac{(x-\lambda\sqrt{2})^2}{2}\right) \tag{36}$$

It can be shown that expression (35) is equivalent to decomposition (23) with respect to the q-oscillator eigenfunctions. The probability density of coherent states is given by deformed Gaussian curves whose amplitude increases as q

decreases, i.e., as the deformation increases. The structure of the curves is most interesting in the case of large deformations ($q << 1$) and large λ, i.e., for small-uncertainty states (30). As λ increases from zero to the limit value $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-q}}$, the original Gaussian curve (15) is deformed into several localized narrow peaks. To explain this, we consider the limit behavior of coherent states as $q \to 0$. Formula (35) and the properties of the q-exponential [?] imply that

$$|\Psi_\lambda(x)|^2 \xrightarrow{q\to 0} \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1-|\lambda|^2}{1+|\lambda|^2 - 2\mathrm{Im}(\lambda \exp(2i\alpha x))} \exp(-x^2) \tag{37}$$

As $q \to 0$ ($\alpha \to \infty$) , the rapidly oscillating term in the denominator cuts separate peaks from the Gaussian function.

4 Conclusions

The main results in this work are the explicit coordinate representations for the q-deformed oscillator annihilation and creation operators (Eqs. (11) and (12)), eigenfunctions (Eq. (16)), and coherent states (Eq. (35)). Another important result is that the q-oscillator coherent states minimize the "coordinate-momentum" uncertainty relation in Eq. (30), which, in contrast to the standard quantum mechanics, is not bounded by the value 1/2 but can take arbitrarily small positive values depending on the deformation parameter q and the coherent-state eigenvalue λ. States with the minimum uncertainty, tending to zero, correspond to the values of λ near the convergence radius of the q-exponential: $|\lambda| \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-q}}$.

To conclude, we discuss the physical interpretation of the deformation parameter q, with possible applications of our results in mind. The structure of quantum groups is naturally related to some exactly solvable models in statistical mechanics and field theory [1], but the meaning of deformation of the standard quantum mechanical relations is still unclear. It has been conjectured that this deformation may be observed at Planckian distances (shorter than 10^{-17} cm [2, 11]), but these conjectures cannot yet be verified experimentally. On the other hand, the deformation parameter can be given a less fundamental interpretation in molecular physics and quantum chemistry, based on nonlinear properties of the q-oscillator. Man'ko and coauthors [?] already noted that the q-oscillator can be regarded as the standard nonlinear oscillator with a peculiar dependence of the frequency on the oscillation amplitude. Later, it was established that quantum dynamics of the q-oscillator is isomorphic to the dynamics of the standard nonlinear oscillator with quadratic unharmonicity [19]. Therefore, it appears quite reasonable to interpret the q parameter as an unharmonicity measure of molecular oscillations. This is further supported by the nonlinearity of the q-oscillator spectrum (see (9)), which at small deformations is quadratic, as are oscillatory spectra of electronic states of many molecules. We therefore hope that the results in this work, in particular, the explicit form of the q-oscillator wave functions, can be used in the theory of molecular spectra, for example, to calculate the line intensities determined by the overlap integrals of wave functions.

Acknowledgments. This paper was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Grant No. 03-03-32521).

Список литературы

[1] A. J. Macfarlane, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 1989, v. 22, p. 4581.

[2] L. S. Biedenharn, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 1989, v. 22, p. L873.

[3] P. P. Kulish, E. V. Damaskinsky, J.Phys. A: Math. Gen., 1990, v. 23, p. L415.

[4] S. A. Alavi, S. Rouhani, Phys. Lett. A, 2004, v. 320, p. 327.

[5] M. R. Monteiro, L. M. C. S. Rodrigues, L. Wulck, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1996, v. 76, p. 1098.

[6] R. S. Johal, R. K. Gupta, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E, 1998, v. 7, p. 553. Z. Chang, H. Yan, Phys. Rev. A, 1991, v. 44, p. 7405.

[7] D. Bonatsos, C. Daskaloyannis, P. Kolokotronis, J. Chem. Phys., 1997, v. 106, p. 605. M. Xie, X.-W. Hou, Z.-Q. Ma, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1996, v. 262, p. 1.

[8] S. Chaturvedi, V. Srinivasan, Phys. Rev. A, 1991, v. 44, p. 8020.

[9] J. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B, 1998, v. 440, p. 66.

[10] A. Lorek, A. Ruffing, J. Wess, Z. Phys. C, 1997, v. 74, p. 369.

[11] J. Zhang, Phys. Lett. A, 1999, v. 262, p. 125.

[12] R. J. Finkelstein, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A, 1998, v. 13, p. 1795.

[13] R. Parthasarathy, R. Sridhar, Phys. Lett. A, 2002, v. 305, p. 105.

[14] R. J. Finkelstein, Lett. Math. Phys., 1999, v. 49, p. 105.

[15] X.-M. Liu, C. Quesne, Phys. Lett. A, 2003, v. 317, p. 210. J.-S. Wang, B.-Y. Wang, C.-Y. Sun, Phys. Lett. A, 1998, v. 246, p. 464.

[16] J. L. Gruver, Phys. Lett. A, 1999, v. 254, p. 1.

[17] A. Kempf, J. Math. Phys., 1994, v. 35, p. 4483.

[18] R. J. Glauber, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1963, v. 131, p. 2766. P. Carruthers, M. M. Nieto, Rev. Mod. Phys., 1968, v. 40, p. 411.

[19] H. Maassen, H. van Leeuwen, J. Math. Phys., 1995, v. 36, p.725.

[20] V. I. Man'ko, G. Marmo, S. Solimeno, F. Zaccaria, Phys. Lett. A, 1993, v. 176, p. 173.